In vitro bioactivity and mechanical properties of zirconium dioxide doped 1393 bioactive glass

Sandeep Kumar Yadav *, Vikash Kumar Vyas, Sarthak Ray, Md Ershad, Akher Ali, Sunil Prasad, Manas Ranjan Majhi and Ram Pyare

Abstract: Bioactive glasses are commonly used in biomedical applications due to their capability to bond both hard as wells as soft tissues. Bioactive glass having general composition (53-X) SiO₂.XZrO₂.6Na₂O.12K₂O .5MgO.20CaO and $4P_2O_5$ (wt %) where X= (0-2 wt% ZrO₂) were melted 100 ml in alumina crucible at 1400 °C in an electric furnace with air as furnace atmosphere. The Zr⁴⁺ ion arranging from 0-2.0 wt% of ZrO₂ was used to replace Si⁴⁺ ion and yield a charge balanced bioactive glass. The addition of Zr⁴⁺ion was expected to form Si-O-Zr and P-O-Zr linkages in the bioactive glass. Bioactivity of the 1393 bioactive glass samples was determined by immersing the sample in the simulated body fluid (SBF) for different period of times. The hydroxyapatite (HA) layer was developed on the sample surface and recognized by FTIR and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). pH was also measured. Density and mechanical properties of the samples were determined and found to increase significantly with an increase in the concentration of zirconium dioxide.

Key words: 1393 bioactive glass, SBF, XRD, SEM, FTIR, pH, zirconium dioxide, density and mechanical properties.

1. Introduction

The first bioactive glass used as a bioactive material was 45S5 bioactive glass which has four-component silica glass (45 wt% SiO₂, 24.5 wt% CaO, 24.5 wt% Na₂O and 6 wt% P₂O₅) [1]. In the 45S5 bioactive glass S denotes the network former SiO₂ followed by a specific Ca/P molar ratio of 5:1 [2]. The key compositional features that are accountable for the bioactivity of Hench bioactive glass are its low SiO₂, high Na₂O and CaO contents as well as high CaO/P₂O₅ relative amount [3, 4]. One more silicate-based bioactive glass, designated as 13-93, with a customized 45S5 bioactive glass composition [5, 6], has more easy viscous flow behavior and less tendency to crystallize than 45S5 bioactive glass. The 13-93 glass is approved for in vivo use in Europe [7]. However, this bioactive glass was lean from mechanical weakness and low fracture toughness due to the amorphous nature of glass and therefore it may not be appropriate for load bearing applications [8].Bio inert (zirconia, alumina), bioactive (hydroxyapatite, bioactive and glass-ceramics), resorbable (tricalcium glasses, phosphate) or porous for tissue in growth (hydroxyapatitecoated metals, alumina) may be used in the bio ceramic composites [9]. Several types of bioactive glasses are developed during last past fifty years. Bioactive glasses (BG) have been known for their bioactive properties and their ability to form a strong bond to bone by formation of hydroxyapatite surface layer [10]. Bioactive glasses (BGs) such as "45S5 Bioactive glass®(45 wt % SiO2 , 24.5 wt % CaO, 24.5 wt % Na2O, 6 wt % P2O5) [11] and "1393" (53 wt % SiO2 ,6 wt % Na2O, 12 wt % K2O, 5 wt % MgO, 20 wt %

CaO, and 4 wt % P2O5) [12] have been widely used for bone tissue engineering applications [13]. Hoppe et.al [13] has developed cobalt oxide releasing 1393 bioactive glass derivative scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications as cobalt was known as angiogenesis agent. He has prepared a melt derived 1393 glass having wt% composition (53SiO2-6Na2O-12K2O-5MgO- 20 CaO-4P2O5) replaced CaO with CoO in the glass which was further used to produce a three-dimensional (3D) porous scaffolds by the foam replica technique. Structural properties of these bioactive glasses were studied by FTIR spectrometry. Thermal behavior as well as scaffold macrostructure, compressive strength, a cellular bioactivity and Co releases in simulated body fluid (SBF) were investigated. Replacement of CaO with CoO in 1393 bioactive glass was done from 1.0 to 5% by weight of cobalt oxide which has shown to act in concentration dependent manner as both network former as well as modifier in bioactive glass. The SBF investigation done hoppe et.al [13] for all glass scaffolds containing 1 to 5% cobalt oxide had shown the formation Ca-P layer incorporated with cobalt on surface of scaffold samples. The maximum concentration of Co2+ ions around 12 ppm released in SBF after 21 days of reaction was found to be within therapeutic range of divalent cobalt. So, considering the impact of surface chemistry on cell attachment proliferation, the resulting formation of Ca-P layer is incorporated by Co2+ ions at scaffolds-SBF interface would be very important for improving the understanding of mineralization behavior as well as cell response to bioactive scaffolds. The authors mentioned that these Co2+ releasing scaffolds could be used as hypoxia-mimicking

novel biomaterials with a high degree of mechanical integrity, making them interesting candidates for bone tissue engineering applications [13].Hench et al. has also investigated the in vitro bonding mechanism with synthetic material because of the chemical reactions taking place over glass surface. These chemical reactions powerfully help the implants to bond with the bone tissues; hence one can replace the diseased or damaged part of the human bone [14]. Most of the available work on bioactive material is concentrated on silica based material. It is accepted that the essential requirements for an artificial biomaterial is to exhibit the formation of apatite or calcium phosphate layer on its surface in body environment. Unlike other bioactive materials, the rate of chemical reaction of bioactive glasses can easily be controlled by changing the chemical composition and heat treatment [15]. Several new bioactive glass compositions have been developed, incorporating therapeutically active ions such as strontium [16, 17], zinc [18, 19], cobalt [20], fluoride [21, 22] and magnesia [23]. Therefore bioactive silicate glasses are of interest for use as bone grafts. Inorganic species such as metal ions, Cu²⁺, Sr²⁺ and Co2+ are being considered as a possible alternative to growth factors and genetic approaches in tissue engineering because of their easy processing, stability at high temperatures and tunable release kinetics [24]. The ZrO₂ ceramic is widely used as a substrate in hard tissue applications due to its excellent strength and fracture toughness [25]. It was discovered from the reaction product obtained after heating gems by the German chemist Martin Heinrich Klaproth in 1789 [26]. In the present investigation an attempt has been made to study the effect of zirconiaum dioxide substituting in silica-based 1393 bioactive glass and to find out its bioactivity and mechanical properties.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1 Preparation of bioactive glass

Fine grained quartz was used as the source of SiO₂, Na₂O and CaO were introduced in the form of anhydrous sodium carbonate [Na₂CO₃] and anhydrous calcium carbonate [CaCO₃] respectively. P₂O₅ was added in the form of ammonium dihydrogen orthophosphate [NH₄H₂PO₄]. K₂O and MgO were introduced in the form of potassium carbonate [K₂CO₃] and magnesium carbonate [MgCO₃] respectively [13]. The ZrO₂ is available as it is and was used to replace SiO₂ for preparation of bioactive glasses. All the batch materials were of analytical grade chemicals and were used without further purification. The compositions of bioactive glasses are given in the Table-1. The weighed batches were mixed properly with the help of mortar and pestle. Before mixing the mortar and pestle were cleaned

thoroughly and allowed to dry properly. After thorough mixing of batch materials they were put in alumina crucibles and placed in an electric furnace. The furnace temperature was set to 1350°C and after reaching 1350°C the steady state was maintained for more 2 hours. After melting the prepared bioactive glasses were poured onto aluminium moulds and were directly transferred to a regulated muffle furnace at the temperature of 550°C for annealing. The muffle furnace was left to cool to room temperature after 1 hour. Annealing is done to remove the internal stress after the glasses are formed. Those glasses which are not annealed they are liable to crack upon sudden change of temperature i.e. any kind of thermal shock or if they get any kind of mechanical shock. The glass is heated until the temperature reaches a stress-free point that is at the annealing temperature (also called annealing point) at a viscosity (η) of 1013.4 poise.

Table 1 Composition of 1393 Bioactive Glass (wt %)

	SiO ₂	Na ₂ O	CaO	P2O5	ZrO ₂	K ₂ O	MgO
Zr-0	53.00	6.00	20	4.00	0.00	12.00	5.00
Zr-1	52.50	6.00	20	4.00	0.50	12.00	5.00
Zr-2	52.00	6.00	20	4.00	1.00	12.00	5.00
Zr-3	51.50	6.00	20	4.00	1.50	12.00	5.00
Zr-4	51.00	6.00	20	4.00	2.00	12.00	5.00

2.2 Preparation of Simulated Body Fluid (SBF)

Kokubo and his teams developed a cellular simulated body fluid that has inorganic ion concentrations similar to those of human extracellular fluid, in order to reproduce formation of apatite on bioactive materials in vitro [24]. This fluid can be used for not only evaluation of bioactivity of artificial materials in vitro, but also coating of apatite on various materials under biomimetic conditions [24]. The simulated body fluid is often abbreviated as SBF or Kokubo solution. The ion concentrations of SBF are given in Table 2.

Table 2:-Ion concentration (mM/litre) of SBF and human blood plasma

Ion	Na⁺	K⁺	Mg ²⁺	Ca ²⁺	HCO3 ⁻	HPO4 ⁻	SO42-	Cl
Simulated body fluid	142.0	5.0	1.5	2.5	4.2	1.0	0.5	147.8
Human blood plasma	140.0	5.0	1.5	2.5	27.0	1.0	0.5	103.0

2.3 X-ray diffraction analysis

To identity the crystalline phase present in the 1393 bioactive glasses and SBF treated glass samples, the samples were ground to 75μ m and the fine powders were subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) using

RIGAKU-Miniflex II diffractometer adopted Cu-K α radiation (λ = 1.5405 Å) with a tube voltage of 40 kV and current of 35 mA in a 2 θ range between 20° and 80°.During measurement the step size and speed were set to 0.02° and 1° per min respectively. The JCPDS-International Centre for diffraction Data Cards were used as a reference.

2.4 In vitro bioactivity of 1393 bioactive glass

The bioactivities of the prepared bioactive glass samples were examined. The experiment was performed by immersing 2 gram of each bioactive glass samples in 20 ml of SBF solution contained in a small plastic container (50 ml) and incubated at 37.5 °C in a static condition for time periods of 0, 2, 8 and 20 days. After soaking, the 1393 bioactive glass for different time period samples were filtered, rinsed with double distilled water and dried in an electric air oven at 110 °C for 3 h. The formation of hydroxy carbonate apatite layer (HCA) on the surface of the 1393 bioactive glass samples were determined using FTIR and SEM techniques.

2.5 Structural analysis of bioactive glasses

The functional groups of 1393 bioactive glasses were investigated at room temperature in the frequency range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (VARIAN scimitar 1000, USA). The fine 1393 bioactive glass powder samples and KBr were mixed in the ratio of 1:100 respectively and these mixtures were subjected to an evocable die at load of 12 MPa to produce clear homogeneous discs. The discs were immediately subjected to IR spectrometer to measure the absorption spectra in order to avoid damp attack. The sample (two grams) was immersed in 20 ml of SBF solution in a plastic container at 37.5 °C with pH 7.4 in an incubator at static condition for the time period of 0, 2, 8, and 20 days. After soaking, the bioactive glass samples were filtered and dried in an oven at 110 °C for 3 hours, before FTIR analysis is done.

2.6 pH Measurements

The glass powders (two grams) were soaked in 20 ml of SBF solution at 37.5 °C for different time periods like (0, 2, 8, and 20 days) and the pH was measured using Universal Bio microprocessor pH meter. The pH meter was calibrated each time with standard buffer solutions of pH 4.00 and 7.00 at room temperature and pH values have been recorded during different time periods at a fixed time interval.

2.7 Density and Mechanical Properties Measurements

The melts were casted in rectangular shape mould and the resultant bioactive glass samples were ground and polished for required dimension using grinding machine and then samples were subjected to three point bending test. The test was performed at room temperature using Instron Universal Testing Machine (AGS 10kND, SHIMADZU) of cross-head speed of 0.5 mm/min and full scale load of 2500 kg. Flexural strength was determined using the formula-1.

$$[\sigma_f = (3P_f L)/(2bh^2)] - - - - (1)$$

Where P_f is the load at which specimen being fractured, L is the length over which the load is applied, b is the width and h is height of sample.

(10mm x 10mm x 10 mm) size of polished 1393 bioactive glass and zirconium dioxide substituted bioactive glasses were prepared according to ASTM standard: C730-98. The indentations have been made for loads ranging between 30 mN and 2000 mN, applied at a velocity of 1 mm/s and allowed to equilibrate for 16 second before measurement. Micro hardness, H (GPa) of 1 mm/s was calculated using the formula (2).

$$H = 1.854 (P/d^2) - - - - - - (2)$$

Where P (N) is the applied load on sample and d (m) is the diagonal of the impression

Compressive strength of the base glass and ZrO2 substituted bioactive glass is $(2 \times 2 \times 1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ size})$ were subjected to compression test according to ASTM D3171. The test was performed using Instron Universal Testing Machine at room temperature (cross speed of 0.05 cm/min and full scale of 5000 kgf).

The densities of base 1393 bioactive glass and zirconium dioxide substituted 1393 bioactive glasses were measured by Archimedes principle with water as the immersing fluid. The measurements were performed at room temperature. All the weight measurements have been made using a digital balance [Sartorius, Model: BP221S, USA] having an accuracy of \pm 0.0001 g. Density (Q) of sample was obtained by using the relation as given below in equation (3).

Density =
$$[Ma/(Ma-Mi)] \times 0.988$$
 ----- (3)

Where, Ma (weight of sample in air) and Mi (weight of sample in water)

2.8 SEM of 1393 bioactive glass sample

The surface morphology of samples was analyzed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) after SBF treatment (Inspect S50, FEI). The bioactive glass powders (2g) were pressed (load of 10 MPa) into pellet forms in a hand pelletizer machine using an evocable die to produce discs of 10 mm in diameter. The Poly (vinyl alcohol) (PVA) was used as a binder for making pellets. The pellets were immersed in SBF (20 ml) for 8 days at 37.5°C. They were coated with gold (Au) by sputter coating instrument before their examination with SEM.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns of 1393 bioactive glass

The X-Ray diffraction (X-RD) patterns for 1393 bioactive glass and zirconium dioxide substituted 1393 bioactive glasses are shown in **Fig:-1**. The observed results indicate that the glasses have amorphous structure and there is no indention for the presence of crystalline phases. It was observed that as the concentration of zirconium dioxide was increased in the composition the broad hump at 20 between 25° to 35° become more intense.




Fig. 1. XRD of the bioactive glass samples

3.2 FTIR Reflection Spectra of 1393 bioactive glass

Fig:-2 shows the FTIR Reflection spectra of the 1393 and zirconium dioxide substituted bioactive glass before SBF treatment. All the bioactive glass samples are showing similar trend behavior, FTIR Reflection spectra bands of all the glasses confirm the main characteristic of silicate

network and this may be due to the presence of SiO2 as a major constituent. The bioactive glass (Zr-1) shows the peaks at 439, 927, 1037, 1494 and 2800-3800 cm⁻¹ respectively. The resultant IR spectra at 439 cm⁻¹ associated with a Si-O-Si symmetric of bending mode. The band at 927 cm-1 corresponds with Si-O-Si symmetric stretch of nonbridging oxygen atoms between tetrahedral. It was observed that the intensity of the band recreated as the zirconium dioxide substituted in the 1393 bioactive glasses; therefore the zirconium dioxide increases the non bridging oxygen in the network. The major band at about 1037 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to Si-O-Si stretching. The small band at 1494 cm-1 attributed to C-O vibration mode. It was observed that the intensity of the IR peak has been increased as the concentration of zirconium dioxide increased. This is due to the breaking of Si-O-Si bond which hold the glass structure together. The small, broad band centered at about 2800-3800 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the hydroxyl group (-OH) which may be the presence of adsorbed water molecules. This represents the infrared frequencies and related functional structural groups in the bioactive glass [27]. The bioactive glasses substituted with zirconium dioxide are not showing noticeable changes in the IR spectra bands.




Fig. 2. Before FTIR of the bioactive glass samples

3.3 pH behaviors in SBF base and doped bioactive glass

The Fig. 3 shows variation in pH values of bioactive glass after soaking into simulated body fluid (SBF) for 0, 2, 8 and 20 days. It was observed that up to 8 days all bioactive glass are showing increase in pH values almost linearly from pH value 7.3. The Zr-2 bioactive glass is showing maximum pH value on 8th day i.e. 9.02. Due to the addition of zirconium dioxide there are variations in the pH values for different samples. The Zr-2 bioactive glass is showing maximum pH at the end of 20th day. The Zr-2 which consists of 1 wt% of zirconium dioxide is showing maximum pH value. It was found that in all cases the pH value is decreasing after 8 days and attended a constant value up to 20th days. The increase in pH values of bioactive glass in SBF solution is due to release of Ca2+ and Na2+ ions from the sample surface [27]. The sample number Zr-2 with higher zirconium dioxide content was found to show maximum pH value may be due to high rate of dissolution as compared to base bioactive glass sample Zr-0. The incorporation of zirconium dioxide into 1393 bioactive glass resulted in an increase in the pH of SBF. Their high degradation rate leads to a higher pH value and this agrees to the condition of formation of hydroxyl apatite like layer on the surface of the samples with more crystalline.




Fig. 3. pH behavior of the SBF after immersion of the bioactive glass samples

3.4 In Vitro bioactivity of 1393 and doped bioactive glass by Reflection spectroscopy

Fig: - 4, 5, 6, & 7 show the FTIR Reflection spectra bands of the bioactive glass before and after immersing in SBF for different period of times days 0, 2, 8 and 20 [28-29]. Hench et al., 1998 [28] and Kim et al., 1989 [29] confirmed that effect in the IR spectra bands after immersing in SBF for prolonged time period and the stages of apatite formation on the surface of the samples after immersing in SBF.

Fig: - 4 shows the IR spectra bands of Zr-1 sample before and after treated with SBF. The new bands were appeared after 2 day immersion in SBF when compared to before immersion at 517 and 596 cm-1 are corresponds to P-O bending (crystalline) and P–O bending (amorphous) bending respectively. Presence of C-O stretching at 927 cm⁻¹ band shows the crystalline nature indicates the formation of hydroxyl carbonate apatite (HCA) layer.




Fig. 4. FTIR of the bioactive glass (Zr-1) before and after immersion in SBF for (0-20) days

The bands at about 1414 and 1525 cm⁻¹ are associated with C-O (Stretch) and C=O (Stretch) stretching mode and the broad band at about 2800-3800 cm-1 can be assigned to (hydroxyl) O-H groups on the surface. The prolonged period of the samples in SBF shows the same behavior with small decrease in the intensities of the bands, which are resulted in favor of development of hydroxyl carbonated apatite (HCA) layer.

Fig: - 5 shows the IR spectra bands of Zr-2 sample before and after treated with SBF. The new bands were appeared after 2 day immersion in SBF when compared to before immersion at 534 and 612 cm-1 are corresponds to P-O bending (crystalline) and P-O bending (amorphous) bending respectively. Presence of C-O stretching at 927 cm⁻¹ band shows the crystalline nature indicates the formation of

IJSER © 2017

hydroxyl carbonate apatite (HCA) layer. The bands at about 1415 and 1509 cm⁻¹ are associated with C-O (Stretch) and C=O (Stretch) stretching mode and the broad band at about 2800-3800 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to (hydroxyl) O-H groups on the surface. The protracted period of the samples in SBF shows the same trend with small decrease in the intensities of the bands, which are resulted in favor of development of hydroxyl carbonated apatite (HCA) layer.




Fig. 5. FTIR of the bioactive glass (Zr-2) before and after immersion in SBF for (0-20) days




Fig. 6. FTIR of the bioactive glass (Zr-3) before and after immersion in SBF for (0-20) days

Fig: - 6 shows the IR spectra bands of Zr-3 sample before and after treated with SBF. The new bands were appeared after 2 day immersion in SBF when compared to before immersion at 517 and at 596 cm⁻¹ are corresponds to P-O bending (crystalline) and P-O bending (amorphous) bending respectively. Presence of C-O stretching at 927 cm⁻¹ band show the crystalline nature indicates the development of hydroxyl carbonate apatite (HCA) layer. The bands at about 1413 and 1525 cm⁻¹ are associated with C-O (Stretch) and C=O (Stretch) stretching mode and the broad band at about 2800-3800 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to (hydroxyl) O-H groups on the fine surface. The protracted period of the samples in SBF shows the same behavior with small decrease in the intensities of the bands, which are resulted in favor of development of hydroxyl carbonated apatite (HCA) layer.




Fig. 7. FTIR of the bioactive glass (Zr-4) before and after immersion in SBF for (0-20) days

Fig: - 7 shows the IR spectra bands of Zr-4 sample before and after treated with SBF. The new bands were appeared after 1 day immersion in SBF when compared to before immersion at 518 and 659 cm⁻¹ are corresponds to P–O bending (crystalline) and P–O bending (amorphous) bending respectively. Presence of C-O stretching at 926 cm⁻¹ band show the crystalline nature indicates the development of hydroxyl carbonate apatite (HCA) layer. The bands at about 1541 and 1697 cm⁻¹ are associated with C-O (Stretch) and C=O (Stretch) stretching mode and the broad band at about 2800-3800 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to (hydroxyl) O-H groups on the surface. The prolonged period of the samples in SBF shows the same behavior with small decrease in the intensities of the bands, which are resulted in favor of development of hydroxyl carbonated apatite (HCA) layer.

3.5 Mechanical behavior of Zr-0, Zr-1 Zr-2, Zr-3 and Zr-4 bioactive glass

Fig: - 8 shows the density of zirconium dioxide substituted 1393 bioactive glass. It is observed that the densities of the samples were increased with increasing zirconium dioxide content from 2.78 to 2.96 gm/cm³, which may be due to partial replacement of SiO₂ with ZrO₂. This is attributed due to the replacement of a light element (density of SiO₂ - 2.64) with a heavier one (ZrO₂ 5.68). A similar trend of results also found in the compressive strength (Zr-0, Zr-1)

Zr-2, Zr-3 and Zr-4 - 61.82, 73.72, 76.92, 77.98 and 81.13 MPa respectively) shown in Fig: - 8.




Fig. 8. Density and compressive strength of the 1393 bioactive glass samples

Fig: - 9 shows the results of the flexural strength and Micro hardness of Zr-0, Zr-1 Zr-2, Zr-3 and Zr-4 samples. The results demonstrate an increasing tendency in flexural strength and Micro hardness as the percentage of zirconium dioxide increase (44.48, 57.25, 59.42, 61.52 and 68.58 Micro hardness 5.85, 5.88, 5.91, 5.97 and 5.99 respectively). This increase may be due to the Zr⁴⁺ may act as network intermediate, thus more the compactness of glass structure. Vyas et. al., [27] in an earlier investigation had also shown that the addition of cobalt oxide up to 2.0 wt% in 45S5 glass & glass-ceramic has resulted in an increase in density and compressive strength, flexural strength and Micro hardness [30]. Vyas et.al [31] investigated assessment of nickel oxide substituted bioactive glass-ceramic on in vitro bioactivity and mechanical properties. They have prepared a melt derived 45S5 glass having wt% composition (45SiO2-24.5Na2O-24.5CaO-6P₂O₅ wt %) substituted with CoO for SiO₂ in the glass and found that density and compressive strength, flexural strength and micro hardness have increased with increasing CoO content [31].




Fig. 9. Flexural strength and micro hardness of the 1393 bioactive glass samples

3.6 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The SEM micrographs of 1393 glass and zirconium dioxide substituted glass samples before immersing in SBF solution are shown in Fig:- 10. This figure shows different rod types of structure and asymmetrical grain of 1393 bioactive glass samples and is quite similar to the results found by Hanan et al. 2009 [32]. Fig: - 11 represent the SEM micrographs of Zr-0 and (Zr-1, Zr-2, Zr-3, Zr-4) bioactive glass of after immersed in SBF solution for 8 days. It is observed from the Fig: -11 that Zr-0 and (Zr-1,Zr-2,Zr-3,Zr-4) glass samples which were immersed in SBF solution for 8 days were enclosed with asymmetrical shape and grounded HA particles have been grown into more than a few agglomerates consisting of spine shaped HA layer. These micrographs show the formation of HA on the surface of the Zr-0 and (Zr-1, Zr-2, Zr-3, Zr-4) glass samples after immersing in SBF solution for 8 days.




Fig.10. SEM of bioactive glass samples before SBF




Fig.11. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) of bioactive glass samples after SBF

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the present investigation, a comparative investigation was carried out on physico-mechanical and bioactive properties of zirconium dioxide substituted 1393 bioactive glasses. The following conclusions were drawn from this investigation. The XRD analysis of the bioactive glass before immersing into SBF showed the amorphous nature of the glass. FTIR reflectance spectra, pH behavior, XRD and SEM images indicate the formation of hydroxyl apatite (HA) layer on the surface of the zirconium dioxide containing bioactive glasses after immersing in simulated body fluid (SBF). FTIR results showed the silicate network structure in prepared bioactive glass and increasing the zirconium dioxide content in 1393 bioactive glass increase the density, flexural strength, compressive strength and micro hardness. Hence, the present investigation clearly indicates that ZrO2 substituted bioactive glass would be potential biomaterials for biomedical applications.

Acknowledgement:-The authors gratefully acknowledge the HOD, Department of Ceramic Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi -221005, India the honorable Director of Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi, India for providing necessary facilities for the present work.

References

1.L.L.Hench, Journal of Material Science and Material Medicine 17, 967–978 (2006); DOI: 10.1007/S10856-006-0432Z.

2.S.M. Best, A.E.Porter, E.S.Thian and J. Huang, Journal of the European Ceramic Society, 28, 1319-1327 (2008); DOI:10.1016/J. 3.M.N.Rahaman, D.E.Day, B.S.Bal, Q.Fu, S.B.Jung, L.F.Bonewald and A.P.Tomsia, Acta Biomaterials, 7, 2355-2373, (2011); DOI:10.1039/c4TB00940A.

4.Ankesh Kumar Srivastava, Ram Pyare and S. P. Singh International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 3, 1-13, (2012).

5.Brink M. The influence of alkali and alkali earths on the working range for bioactive glasses. J Biomed Mater Res 1997;36:109–17.

6.Brink M, Turunen T, Happonen R, Yli-Urppo A. Compositional dependence of bioactivity of glasses in the system Na2O-K2O-MgO-CaO-B2O3-P2O5-SiO2. J Biomed Mater Res 1997; 37:114-21.

7.Qiang Fu , Mohamed N. Rahaman , B. Sonny Bal , Roger F. Brown , Delbert E. Day. Mechanical and in vitro performance of 13–93 bioactive glass scaffolds prepared by a polymer foam replication technique. Acta Biomaterialia 1854–1864, 4 (2008).

8.D. Shi Ed, Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering, Fist edition, XI, pp 246, USA (2004); DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-06104-6.

9.L.L. Hench, Bioceramics, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 74 (7) (1998) 1487-1510.

10.L.C. Gerhardt and A. R. Boccaccini, Bioactive Glass and Glass-Ceramic Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering, Materials 2010, *3*, 3867-3910.

11.Hench, L. L. Bioceramics: From concept to clinic. J. Am. Ceram.Soc. 1991, 74 (7), 1487–1510.

12.Rahaman, M. N.; Day, D. E.; Bal, B. S.; Fu, Q.; Jung, S. B.;Bonewald, L. F.; Tomsia, A. P. Bioactive glass in tissue engineering.Acta Biomater. 2011, 7 (6), 2355–2373.

13.A. Hoppe, B. Jokic, D. Janackovic, Cobalt-Releasing 1393 Bioactive Glass-Derived Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering Applications, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 2865–2877.

14.L.L. Hench, H.Oonishi, J. Wilson,Sugihara,E.Tsuji, M.Matsuura,S.Kin,T.Yamamoto and S.Mizokawa and S.Mizokawa,ibid.51(2000) 37.

15.H.A. ElBatal, M.A. Azooz, E.M.A. Khalil, A. Soltan Monem, Y.M. Hamdy, Mater. Chem. Phys. 80 (3) (2003) 599.

16.Fredholm YC, Karpukhina N, Law RV, Hill RG. Strontium containing bioactive glasses: glass structure and physical properties. J Non-Cryst Solids 2010;356:2546–51 17.Gentleman E, Fredholm YC, Jell G, Lotfibakhshaiesh N, O'Donnell MD, Hill RG, et al.The effects of strontiumsubstituted bioactive glasses on osteoblasts and osteoclasts in vitro.Biomaterials 2010; 31:3244–52.

18.Lusvardi G, Malavasi G, Menabue L, Menziani MC. Synthesis, characterization, and molecular dynamics simulation of Na2O–CaO–SiO2–ZnO glasses. J Phys ChemB 2002;106:9753–60.

19.Aina V, Malavasi G, Pla AF, Munaron L, Morterra C. Zinc-containing bioactive glasses: surface reactivity and behaviour towards endothelial cells. Acta Biomater 2009;5:1211–22.

20. Azevedo MM, Jell G, O'Donnell MD, Law RV, Hill RG, Stevens MM. Synthesis and characterization of hypoxiamimicking bioactive glasses for skeletal regeneration. J Mater Chem 2010; 20:8854–64.

21.Brauer DS, Karpukhina N, O'Donnell MD, Law RV, Hill RG. Fluoride-containing Bio-active glasses: effect of glass design and structure on degradation, pH and apatite formation in simulated body fluid. Acta Biomater 2010; 6:3275–82.

22.Lusvardi G, Malavasi G, Cortada M, Menabue L, Menziani MC, Pedone A, et al. Elucidation of the structural role of fluorine in potentially bioactive glasses by experimental and computational investigation. J Phys Chem B 2008; 112:12730–9.

23.Watts SJ, O'Donnell MD, Law RV, Hill RG. Influence of magnesia on the structure and properties of bioactive glasses. J Non-Cryst Solida 2010; 356:517–24.

24.Kokubo T, Takadama H, Biomaterials 2006; 27:2907–15.

25.Hulbert SF. The use of alumina and zirconia in surgical implants.In: Hench LL, Wilson J, editors. Advanced series in ceramics, vol. 1: An introduction to bioceramics. London: World Scientific; 1993.

26.German Chemist Martin Heinrich Klaproth in 1789 discovers zirconium (The Editors of Encyclopædia Britannica).

27.Nayak, J. P.; S. Kumar; J. Bera; Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 356, 1447–1451, 2010.

28.L.L. Hench, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 81 (1998) 1705-1728.

29.C.Y. Kim, A.E. Clark, L.L. Hench. Early stages of calcium-phosphate layer formation in bioglasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 113 (2) 195–202 (1989).



International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 8, Issue 3, March-2017 ISSN 2229-5518

30.Vikash Kumar Vyas , Arepalli Sampath Kumar , S. P. Singh and Ram Pyare, Effect of Cobalt Oxide Substitution on Mechanical Behaviour and Elastic Properties of Bioactive glass and glass-ceramics., Trans. Ind. Ceram. Soc., vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 1-8 (2016).

31.Vikash Kumar Vyas , Arepalli Sampath Kumar, S. P. Singh, Akher Ali1,Sunil Prasad, Md. Ershad Pradeep Srivastava, Sarada Prasanna Mallick and Ram Pyare, "Assessment of nickel oxide substituted bioactive glassceramic on in vitro bioactivity and mechanical properties," Boletín de la Sociedad Española de Cerámica y Vidrio, 69, page no.228-238 , 25 September 2016.

32.Hanan H. Beherei, Khaled R. Mohamed and Gehan T. El-Bassyouni. (2009). Fabrication and characterization of bioactive glass (45S5)/titania biocomposites, Ceram. Int., 35, 1991-1997.

Sandeep Kumar Yadav *, Vikash Kumar Vyas, Sarthak Ray, Md Ershad, Akher Ali, Sunil Prasad, Manas Ranjan Majhi and Ram Pyare Department of Ceramic Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi - 221005: INDIA

Corresponding Author
Sandeep Kumar Yadav and Vikash Kumar Vyas

E-Mail-sandeep.rs.cer.2006@gmail.com, vyas22302@gmail.com